

# On the Underestimated Impact of Gelation Temperature on Macro- and Mesoporosity in the Preparation of Monolithic Silica

<u>Rafael Meinusch,<sup>a</sup> Kristof Hormann,<sup>b,c</sup> Ulrich Tallarek,<sup>c</sup> Bernd M. Smarsly<sup>a</sup></u> <sup>a</sup>Institute of Physical Chemistry, Justus-Liebig-University Giessen, Germany. <sup>b</sup>Thermo Fisher Scientific, Germany. <sup>c</sup>Department of Chemistry, Philipps-University Marburg, Germany.

an

# Introduction

- Macro- and mesoporous monolithic silica for electrochemical applications, adsorption, catalysis and separation
- Relationship between preparation protocol and mass transport properties is the origin of an optimized performance
- Reproducibility and homogeneity are essential





# ▲ N<sub>2</sub>-Physisorption

Isotherms and pore size distributions (PSDs) show

# Mesoporosity





mesoporosity is supposed to be generated during hydrothermal treatment (identical for all samples)



▲ Combined porosity data Mean mesopore size as a function of macropore size

#### ▲ Synthesis

- A) Silica precursor, acidic catalyst, organic polymer (PEG) and urea
- B) Phase separation induced by spinodal decomposition and frozen
  - in by gelation (formation of macropores)
- C) Further condensation leads to shrinkage
- D) Decomposition of urea induces dissolution and reprecipitation
  - process (formation of mesopores)
- E) Organic moieties are decomposed

- systematic: unexpected and mode mesopore size stays constant (12 nm) change <u>systematic</u> in mesoporosity
  - emergence of additional bigger mesopores

### Micro-/mesoporosity prior to hydrothermal treatment



#### $\blacktriangle$ N<sub>2</sub>-Physisorption

- Isotherms and PSDs show a big difference in micro-/mesoporosity ulletdirectly after gelation (temperatures are designated)
- Dissolution and reprecipitation mechanism cannot be neglected at low temperatures and acidic conditions
- Differences at this stage may impact mesopore formation during hydrothermal treatment



#### ▲ Meso-/microporosity Scheme illustrating increased micro- and mesopore formation at higher gelation temperature

# Macroporosity

## **Gelation temperature**

# 28.0°C

<sup>3/g]</sup>

0.00

0

## Mesoporosity as a function of macroporosity

Pore diameter [nm]

#### Macro-/mesoporosity





Change in macroporosity is predominantly a consequence of the accelerated sol-gel transition  $\rightarrow$  Structure created by phaseseparation is frozen in earlier at higher gelation temperatures

- 60 [cm<sup>3</sup>/g] 50 40 dV/dlogd 30 20 This 1000 10000 Pore diameter [nm] [cm<sup>3</sup>/g] 0 20 30 40 50  $\mathbf{O}$ 10 Pore diameter [nm]
- Samples prepared at different gelation temperatures possess similar mesoporosity when macroporosity is similar (PEG amount adjusted) indicates that mesoporosity is a function of macroporosity
  - Postulated mechanism

Dissolution-reprecipitation is pronounced at interface macropore/ skeleton

Emergence of additionnal bigger mesopores for smaller macropores /skeletons

# **Example of use / Conclusion**

#### ▼ Example of use

Impact of quality of gelation temperature control is shown exemplarily for monolithic silica capillary columns in HPLC

- Strong sensitivity of the porosity, especially the macropore size, towards gelation temperature
- Mesoporosity is affected by small differences in gelation
- Synthesis inevitably requires accurate temperature circulators for the preparation of a homogeneous porosity which is essential in high



Physikalischemisches stitut

Institute of Physical Chemistry Justus-Liebig-University Giessen Heinrich-Buff-Ring 17, 35392 Giessen, Germany

**Research Group Prof. Dr. B. M. Smarsly** 

rafael.meinusch@phys.chemie.uni-giessen.de

JUSTUS-LIEBIG-JNIVERSITÄT GIESSEN